IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 1 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 3 4 W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his) 5 capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and) OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE 6 ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) 7 in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES) 8 FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, 9 Plaintiff, 10)4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ vs. 11 TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, 12 Defendants. 13 VOLUME I OF THE VIDEOTAPED 14 15 DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, produced as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above 16 17 styled and numbered cause, taken on the 18th day of 18 March, 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, 19 State of Oklahoma, before me, Kristen Holmes, a 20 Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under 21 and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma. 22 23 24 25

36

MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, VOLUME I, 3-18-09

Did you undertake any surveys or studies or 1 research to identify the source of the nutrients in 2 the -- either the Colorado River or the reservoirs? 3 I can't recall any at this point. We were not 4 10:06AM faced with any high nutrient conditions in either 5 Lake Mathews or Lake Skinner. Aah, in -- we were 6 interested in the nutrient inputs in the State Water 7 Project reservoirs. While we did not control them 8 9 and operate them, they were operated by the California Department of Water Resources, a state 10:07AM 10 government department. We received water from them, 11 and there were a number of small wastewater 12 discharges into those reservoirs, and we were 13 14 interested in those nutrient inputs and what -- what 10:07AM the effects of those might be. We were also 15 interested in nutrient cycling through the sediments 16 in all of the reservoirs because of a problem with 17 benthic blue-green algae, a series of different 18 benthic blue-green algae that we were monitoring and 19 -- and researching. 10:07AM 20 Okay. So did you conduct any type of 21 investigation to identify the source of nutrients in 22 either of the Metropolitan's lakes or the Colorado 23 River? 24 No. Sorry. Not true. On the Colorado River 10:08AM 25

What -- can you explain what a limiting

Q

MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, VOLUME I, 3-18-09

nutrient is in the context of a reservoir?	
A limiting nutrient is one that is generally	
in low concentration compared to the other nutrients	
that you're comparing it to. For example, if you	10:37AM
take the simple case of nitrogen and phosphorus, in	
a lot of reservoirs there is plenty of nitrogen	
available, either due to input of nitrogen from	
runoff or fertilizer use in the watershed or	
whatever or from the death and decay of plants from	10:37AM
nitrogen-fixing organisms. Phosphorus, on the other	
hand, sometimes is in very low concentrations, and	
the algae will only grow to the extent that the	
phosphorus level will stimulate that growth.	
Phosphorus is critical for for the growth and	10:38AM
reproduction of well, of organisms in general,	
but algae specifically, and so they will only grow	
to accommodate the level of phosphorus that's there.	
So if more phosphorus is put in, then they will grow	
more. It's so it's the amount of nitrogen that's	10:38AM
present is in excess, the amount of phosphorus is	
limiting.	
Q Okay. Thank you. Did you undertake any	
did you or Metropolitan while you were there	
undertake any studies or surveys to identify any	10:38AM

sources of the nitrogen and phosphorus in the four 1 2 State Water Project reservoirs? There were small wastewater plants that were 3 discharging into the reservoir, and we -- we did do 4 some work on those. I'm -- the details are very 10:39AM 5 vague in my mind. We were always interested in what 6 was coming into the reservoirs from the main flow of 7 the -- of the aqueducts that were bringing water 8 from northern California. They go through a very 9 10:39AM rich agricultural area in the Sacramento-San Joaquin 10 Delta, and from there they pick up a variety of 11 different materials, and we were always interested 12 in tracking that. So that is -- those were the 13 primary sources that we were interested in. These 14 watersheds were pretty -- pretty much undeveloped, 10:39AM 15 and so there wasn't much contribution from --16 besides these small wastewater plants, much -- much 17 contribution from other activities that I can 18 recall. 19 We don't have aqueducts in Oklahoma, as far as 10:40AM 20 I know. Can you -- can you explain to me a little 21 bit more what you just said the -- about the 22 23 aqueduct systems -- system? MR. JORGENSEN: Object to the form. 24 In our case we have a lot of rain in the 10:40AM 25

> TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

126

1		
1	stratification, the establishment of a thermocline,	;
2	zero dissolved oxygen below the thermocline. I've	
3	worked with a number of clients on in-reservoir	e .
4	treatment in order to reduce those contaminants,	!
5	hydrogen sulfide being a contaminant that's produced	02:16PM
6	in the reservoir, shifting species, again, from one	
7	from blue-greens, which might be dominant, to	
8	more green algae. We actually evaluated in	
9	in-reservoir treatment of high levels of	
10	trihalomethanes with using surface aerators. There	02:17PM
11	was a finished water reservoir that had that was	
12	a finished water reservoir. It wasn't a raw water	
13	reservoir. Okay. Those are the ones that come to	
14	mind. Again, if I think of any, I'll be happy to	
15	fill in.	02:17PM
16	Q Okay. What project did you undertake to keep	
17	asbestos from getting into a reservoir?	
18	A That was the survey of the State Water Project	
19	that was done in the early 1980's where we	
20	discovered that the State of California was taking	02:17PM
21	in these flood flows from the Coalinga area, and so	
22	we encouraged them to find alternate ways of getting	
23	rid of the stormwater than putting it into the	
24	aqueduct because of the problem with the fact that	
25	the runoff was coming from these asbestos mines.	02:17PM
	1	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raw water?

127

02:19PM

MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, VOLUME I, 3-18-09

Okay. Did you do any of the -- the source Q identification work to identify the location of the asbestos released? MR. JORGENSEN: Objection, asked and 02:18PM answered. We did the sampling of the water. The source was obvious. It was there. I mean, you could see it physically. The levels of asbestos fibers in the stormwater were huge, and, also, they laid down a sediment layer in the aqueduct itself of -- of high 02:18PM concentrations of asbestos fibers in the sediment that then would be slowly released over time. So it was kind of a mess all the way around, and getting them to change their operations so that that didn't 02:18PM happen anymore was our goal. Okay. So did you test the runoff water? Yes. And visibly identified the mine? That was a matter of public record. We didn't 02:18PM have to visibly identify it.

> TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

Okay, and then tested the source water -- the

I'm not sure what you mean by raw water.

There are different kinds of raw water. What --

The reservoir?

1	A The reservoir, yes, yes.
2	Q Okay, and were you able to identify the mine
3	as the source of the asbestos?
4	A We yes. It was pretty obvious where it was
5	coming from. These were massive, massive 02:19PM
6	concentrations, and there was a specific activity
7	that was easily identifiable. There was no mystery
8	here. You know, it was mines, stormwater. There
9	were gates that let the water into the the
10	aqueduct, and the concentrations below were much 02:19PM
11	higher than they were above. It was quite obvious
12	what was going on.
13	Q Okay, and the other example that you gave was
14	in-lake treatment. Does in-lake treatment or any of
15	the in-lake treatment that you did require 02:19PM
16	identification of the sources contributing to the
17	levels that you see in the lake?
18	A Yes. The source was pretty obvious. In the
19	case of hydrogen sulfide, it was coming from the
20	hypolimnion, the lower part of the lake where there 02:20PM
21	was no dissolved oxygen. Sources of taste and odor
22	contaminants were we've already talked about at
23	length, and I had mentioned that before, but that
24	was, of course, again, in-reservoir identification
25	and treatment of contaminants. 02:20PM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

1	Q Did did he do the job that you did
2	previously before you left?
3	A No. He was a specialist. He was a
4	limnologist.
5	Q Okay. Did is it Dr. Horne? 02:28PM
6	A Yes.
7	Q Did he make any recommendations to to you
8	or to Metropolitan regarding the method to deal with
9	the algae blooms in any of the reservoirs?
10	A I don't recall any. I know that we worked 02:28PM
11	together on those reservoirs My recollection of
12	what he actually did and, you know, what resulted
13	from that, I just can't recall at this point It
14	was a long time ago.
15	Q Earlier when we talked about phosphorus 02:28PM
16	limitation and/or nitrogen limitation, you talked
17	about somebody advising you, getting a couple of
18	different opinions regarding whether or not these
19	reservoirs were phosphorus or nitrogen limited. Did
20	you get any opinion from Dr. Horne? 02:29PM
21	A Yes.
22	Q And what was his opinion?
23	A I don't recall.
24	Q So originally we were talking about areas of
25	your expertise, and what I'm trying to determine is, 02:29PM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

136

1	have you done any work to go out into a watershed to	
2	identify contributors of pollutants to water bodies?	
3	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection, asked and	
4	answered.	
5	A No, with the exception of the asbestos that I 02:30PM	
6	already talked about and with the exception of the	
7	delta the the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta,	
8	which was specifically, you know, providing organic	
9	precursors. So the answer really isn't no. The	
10	answer is, yes, that we we have I particularly 02:30PM	
11	I personally have gone into watersheds and found	
12	looked for and, in at least two cases I can	
13	recall off the top of my head, found sources of	
14	organic and inorganic contaminants that we needed to	
15	deal with one way or another. 02:31PM	
16	Q Okay, and the one instance is the asbestos	
17	that we just talked about; is that correct?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And the other instance is the delta project	
20	that we discussed earlier today? 02:31PM	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And, as I understood that delta project	
23	earlier, there was a Gary Aim (sic), is is that	
24	correct, that was responsible for that project?	
25	A Gary Amy, A-M-Y 02:31PM	-

137

[•
1	Q Amy.	
2	A was a professor at University of Arizona	
3	he's currently in the Netherlands who we	
4	consulted with on that.	
5	Q Did you actually do fieldwork in that project?	02:31PM
6	A Collected samples, yes.	
7	Q Okay.	
8	A I didn't personally, but I supervised that	
9	that work.	
10	Q Okay. Did you analyze those results in terms	02:31PM
11	of determining the source?	
12	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection to form.	
13	A I didn't personally do any of that analysis.	
14	That was, again, the reason why we hired Dr. Amy.	
15	There were a variety of different studies going on	02:32PM
16	that I was involved in in many different capacities.	
17	It's kind of hard to remember exactly what I did and	
18	what consultants did at this point. I was involved	
19	in it at various levels, supervising, doing, working	
20	with contractors.	02:32PM
21	Q It's the doing part I'm trying to get my my	
22	understanding clear on. I I guess it was my	
23	previous understanding that that Metropolitan	
24	retained Dr. Amy to do the research to identify	
25	sources.	02:32PM

261

MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, VOLUME II, 3-19-09

```
1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
                    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
2
3
4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
5
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
6
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT.)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
8
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     vs.
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                        VOLUME II OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
     DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL McGUIRE, PhD, produced
     as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above
16
      styled and numbered cause, taken on the 19th day of
17
     March, 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa,
18
     State of Oklahoma, before me, Kristen Holmes, a
19
     Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under
20
21
      and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

1	
	- C. I.S. IV. MOV.G. i
1	Q So if the MCLG is exceeded, is there risk to
2	human health from the person who's exposed to the
3	water that is exceeds the MCLG?
4	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection.
5	A You're asking me a health risk question. 09:20AM
6	Q Uh-huh.
7	A And I've stated pretty clearly that I'm not a
8	toxicologist. So I think it's clear that I don't
9	have an expert opinion on that
10	Q Okay. If the EPA establishes an an MCLG 09:20AM
11	for a contaminant, does that mean that the
12	contaminant is is may have an adverse effect
13	on the health of of persons?
14	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection.
15	A That's the definition, yes. 09:21AM
16	Q Do you know which DBPs there are MCLGs for
17	A Not
18	Q established?
19	A I don't have them memorized. They're listed
20	in the rule. 09:21AM
21	Q Okay. Do you know whether there is, just from
22	your memory, an MCLG for chloroform?
23	A The MCLGs have changed dramatically over the
24	last 25 years, and so the numbers have changed,
25	those that have MCLGs have changed, and I I would 09:21AM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878

Ī	
1	location of the plume, where do you need to site,
2	capture wells, that kind of thing.
3	Q When that's done, is it based on a concern
4	about risks to human health?
5	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection. 02:33PM
6	A In in the particular case of San Gabriel
7	Valley, yes, because that water is extracted and
8	used for drinking purposes. So the levels that were
9	used for treatment of that water were levels
10	established by the state and, indeed, were the MCLs. 02:33PM
11	Q And we may have covered this before. Have you
12	have you conducted any scientific or medical
13	research on the health effects of disinfection
14	byproducts?
15	A No. 02:34PM
16	MR. JORGENSEN: Objection.
17	A I'm sorry. Did you hear me? I said no. We
18	we spoke over each other
19	MR. JORGENSEN: I apologize.
20	Q Are you qualified to give opinions on risks to 02:34PM
21	human health from ingesting DBPs?
22	A No.
23	Q Can disinfection byproducts cause taste and
24	odor problems?
25	A Not the disinfection byproducts we're talking 02:34PM

TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS 918-587-2878